

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,167	06/27/2003	Hyong-Kyun Lee	P56833	5599	
7590 08/21/2007 Robert E. Bushnell Suite 300 1522 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005		EXAMINER			
			ANYA, CHARLES E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2194		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_				
Application No. 10/607,167		Applicant(s) LEE, HYONG-KYUN		
	Charles E. Anya	2194		
	1			

	Charles E. Anya	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 01 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have				
een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 iFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two mon	the of the data				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col		TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		duaine as simulifican	4h - :				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to a trivial of the action (s) in (s).	igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtarrow will not be entered, or b) $igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigt$	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6-11.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,5 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 21</u> .		•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. EQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	WILLIAM THOMS	ON EXAMINER					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "when a device is initialized, allowing said device independent access hierarchy to generate a device handler identifier having a standardized common data format for said device and transmitting the generated device handler identifier having the standardized common data format to the application hierarchy of a higher order" was not prevously presented. This raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.